Hello! I heard that the legislature is looking at the DOC status of furlough. I am not clear on what aspects of furlough you are analyzing but I want to throw my two cents in.

At the risk of sounding like a perpetual contrarian, furlough should be abolished. Vermont is either the last to keep it or among the last because other states have realized the problems and expense it causes.

Administratively, it is inefficient to keep processing people back in and out for technical violations. And because it is an incarcerative condition, folks under supervision can't drive (but need to because they have to work so get in trouble for that), or they get a felony (!) escape charge for being out of place. It is a system designed for failure, not intentionally of course, but it is very difficult to follow. See the data below and you will see that people who max out fare better:

For ANY Reconviction

All released

Value	Not red	t reconvicted for offense within 3 years					Offense in year 1			Offense in year 2
	Offense in year 3			Reconvicted for offense			within 3 years Tota		Total	Reconviction Rate (3yr)
Variabl	e= To m	ost								
Reentr	y3771	2719	1425	907	5051	8822	57.3%			
Interm.Sanctions		1150	579	369	202	1150	2300	50.0%		
Parole	173	123	59	33	215	388	55.4%			
Probati	ion	3464	1804	778	493	3075	6539	47.0%		
No supervision 1867			1160	429	245	1834	3701	49.6%		
Any type of Release 1			10425	6385	3060	1880	11325	21750	52.1%	

For ANY relodging

All released

	_	d within 3 ithin 3 yea	•	Relodge in year 1 Relodging Rate (3yr)			Relodge in year 2	Relodge in year		
Variable= To most										
Reentry1993 5847		7 745	363	6955	8948	77.7%				
Interm.Sa	anctions	622	1396	185	107	1688	2310 73.1%			
Parole 1	132 192	54	20	266	398	66.8%				
Probation	n 302	4 2698	622	321	3641	6665	54.6%			
No supervision 1948		8 1328	432	201	1961	3909	50.2%			
Any type of Release		7719	11461	2038	1012	14511	22230 65.3%			
For minimum relodging of at least 90 days (Act 41 Section 5) All released Value Not relodged within 3 years Relodge in year 1 Relodge in year 2 Relodge in year										
3 Relodged within 3 years Total Relodging Rate (3yr)										
variabic-	- To most				ilig Kate	e (3yr)				
Reentry/	= To most	ı 6 031	<i>1</i> 71	4008	-					
Reentry4	1850 269		471 575	4098	8948	45.8%	2310 36.8%			
Interm.Sa	1850 269	1460	575	172	8948 103	45.8% 850	2310 36.8%			
Interm.Sa	1850 269 anctions 230 107	1460 47	575 14	172 168	8948 103 398	45.8% 850 42.2%				
Interm.Sa Parole 2 Probation	1850 269 anctions 230 107 n 497	1460 47 3 984	575 14 429	172 168 279	8948 103 398 1692	45.8% 850 42.2% 6665	25.4%			
Parole 2 Probation No super	1850 269 anctions 230 107 n 497 rvision 288	1460 47 3 984 3 560	57514429274	172168279192	8948 103 398 1692 1026	45.8% 850 42.2% 6665 3909	25.4% 26.2%			
Parole 2 Probation No super Any type	1850 269 anctions 230 107 n 497 rvision 288 of Release	1460 47 3 984 3 560	575 14 429	172 168 279	8948 103 398 1692	45.8% 850 42.2% 6665	25.4%			
Parole 2 Probation No super Any type Prisoners	1850 269 anctions 230 107 n 497 rvision 288	1460 47 3 984 3 560	57514429274	172168279192	8948 103 398 1692 1026	45.8% 850 42.2% 6665 3909	25.4% 26.2%			

7764 33.4%

33.3%

1471 24.3%

Reentry5168 1331 775

Interm.Sanctions

Parole 198

Probation	757	121	78	53	252	1009	25.0%	
No supervision	1316	147	119	103	369	1685	21.9%	
Any type of Rel	ease	8552	1812	1117	745	3674	12226	30.1%

(These data are from 2011 because they don't do this analysis anymore, which is another issue that the Leg should address and fund so we can be evidence based)

I am happy to chat more about this but I will tell you that you would save money and have better outcomes if we had presumptive parole at one's minimum and also DOC wouldn't have to scrutinize housing so closely and we would get rid of the B-1 population (those past min waiting for approved housing). I think DOC would support this move, although can't speak for them.

Thank you for your service to VT! Kathy Fox